

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/230,623	06/14/1999	STEPHEN MAY	P98.3235	4102
29157 759	0 02/07/2003			
,	& LLOYD LLC		EXAM	INER
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL			WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
		DUE: 5-7-03		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

THE STORY DOCKET

	Ω
Application No. 9/23062.3 Examiner	plicant(s)
Examiner	Group Art Unit
SWEINST	EIN 1761
ears on the cover sheet beneat	th the correspondence address—
7	
T TO EXPIREM	IONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma	ry a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS
fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the statute, cause the application to become	
11-4-02	
	 ,
935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	tion as to the merits is closed in is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	- is/are allowed.
	_ is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement
is 🗆 approved 🗆 dis	sapproved.
jected to by the Examiner	
	·
:	
ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).	
en received.	
en received in Application No. 🗻	<u> </u>
ents have been received	
onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	

Offic Action Summary ~ The MAILING DATE of this communication appo **Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status / PResponsive to communication(s) filed on _____ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, Disposition of Claims ☐ Claim(s)_____ Of the above claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)_____ ☑ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)__ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been copied to be c Certified copies of the priority documents have be Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other ___

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. -

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohba ('252) in view of Poppel et al ('504) and applicant's admission of the prior art or vice versa, that is, Poppel et al and applicants admission of the prior art in view of Ohba, further in view of Waldburger ('254), McGonigle ('174), Cease ('537), Bliley ('086), Stover ('245), Rogers et al ('094) and Docker (EP 361893), further in view of Quaker Oats (BG. '351), QP Corp (Jp '677), QP Corp (Jp '174), Errass (EP '046) and Henkel (GB. '234) for the reasons detailed in the Office actions mailed 8/29/00, 4/3/01, 12/12/01 and 7/31/2002.

All of applicants' remarks relative to the pending claims and the references filed 11/4/2002, paper no. 18 have been fully and carefully considered but are not found to be convincing for the reasons of record set forth in the Office actions, paper no. 5, 8, 11 and 17, which still stand and are responsive to the current remarks as well. Applicants either argue each reference separately or, when the combination of references is addressed, the previous remarks by the Office are still valid. As an additional point, it is noted that the pending claims do not applied to exclude some barrier, edible or inedible between the base layer and upper layer, so that the two layers are not necessarily in direct physical contact with each other. It is noted, however, that it is not clear whether the specification would necessarily support such a recitation.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/230,623

Art Unit: 1761

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Steven Weinstein whose telephone number is 703-308-0650. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

S. Weinstein/mn January 28, 2003

STEVE WEINSTEIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

2/7/03